arXiv:1203.0852v2 [math.AG] 6 Mar 2012

# ON $\mathbb{Q}$-FANO THREEFOLDS OF FANO INDEX 2

YURI PROKHOROV AND MILES REID

*To Shigefumi Mori in friendship and admiration*

## 1. Introduction

1.1. Recall that a projective threefold $X$ is a *$\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold* if it has only terminal singularities and its anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. Here we assume also that $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and has rank 1, that is, $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ or equivalently, $\operatorname{Cl} X \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$. In this situation we define the *Fano–Weil* and *$\mathbb{Q}$-Fano index* of $X$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{qW}(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim qA,\ A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\},\\ \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA,\ A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sim$ (resp. $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$) is linear equivalence (resp. $\mathbb{Q}$-linear equivalence). Clearly, $\mathrm{qW}(X)$ divides $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$, and $\mathrm{qW}(X) = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$ unless $K_X+qA$ is a nontrivial torsion element of $\operatorname{Cl} X$. Another important invariant of a Fano variety $X$ is its *genus* $\mathrm{g}(X) := \dim|-K_X| - 1$.

It is known that

$$\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \in \{1, \dots, 11, 13, 17, 19\} \tag{1.1.1}$$

(see [Suz04], [Pro10b, Lemma 3.3]). Moreover, we have the following results.

**1.2. Theorem** ([Pro10b]). *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $q := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \geq 9$. Then $\operatorname{Cl} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (i) *If $q = 19$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5, 7)$.*
- (ii) *If $q = 17$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 7)$.*
- (iii) *If $q = 13$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) > 4$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4, 5)$.*
- (iv) *If $q = 11$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) > 10$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$.*
- (v) *$q \neq 10$.*

**1.3. Theorem** ([Pro10c]). *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and set $q := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$ for its $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano index.*

---

Y.P. acknowledges partial support from RFBR grants No. 11-01-92613-KO_a, 11-01-00336-a, the grant of Leading Scientific Schools No. 4713.2010.1, and AG Laboratory SU-HSE, RF government grant ag. 11.G34.31.0023.

M.R. is partially funded by Korean Government WCU Grant R33-2008-000-10101-0.

(i) *If* $q = 9$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 4$ *then* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$.
(ii) *If* $q = 8$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 10$ *then* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3^2,5)$ *or* $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$.
(iii) *If* $q = 7$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 17$ *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3)$.
(iv) *If* $q = 6$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 15$ *then* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3,5)$.
(v) *If* $q = 5$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 18$ *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3,2)$ *or* $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2,2^2,3)$.
(vi) *If* $q = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 21$ *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ *or* $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3,2,3)$.
(vii) *If* $q = 3$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 20$ *then* $X \simeq X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ *or* $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4,2)$.

In this paper we study $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2$.

**1.4. Theorem ([BS07b]).** *There are at most* 1492 *power series that are numerical candidates for the Hilbert series of a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold with* $q = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) = 2$.

Our main result is the following.

**1.5. Theorem.** *Let* $X$ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold of rank* 1 *such that* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) = 2$*, and assume* $K_X$ *is not Cartier. Let* $A$ *be a Weil divisor on* $X$ *such that* $-K_X = 2A$.

*Then* $\dim|A| \leq 4$*. Moreover, if* $\dim|A| = 4$*, then* $X$ *belongs to the single irreducible family described in Section* 3.

**1.5.1. Corollary.** *Let* $X$ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) = 2$ *and* $K_X$ *not Cartier. Then* $g(X) \leq 16$.

**1.5.2. Remark.** Gorenstein $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds $X$ with $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 2$ are particular cases of so-called *del Pezzo varieties* [Fuj90]. The bound $\dim|A| \leq 4$ does not hold for them. More precisely, there are two further cases with $\dim|A| = 5$ and 6:

(i) the complete intersection of two quadrics $X = X_{2\cdot 2} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$
(ii) $X = X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$, a *smooth* [Pro10a, Cor. 5.3] section of the Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a subspace of codimension 3.

1.6. **Background.** In the study of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fanos, the two main methods are the biregular and birational approaches. The biregular methods work in terms of projective embedding by multiples of $A$, or more precisely, by the study of Gorenstein rings $R(X,A)$. This is effective when this model has small codimension, especially when $R(X,A)$ is a hypersurface or codimension 2 complete intersection etc. In contrast, the birational methods are effective when the linear system $|A|$ is large, since then the canonical threshold is low, giving scope for imposing noncanonical singularities on $|A|$ and studying $X$ birationally in terms of the resulting Sarkisov links, aiming for either a birational construction or nonexistence results. The main interest of this paper is that this is a point where the two methods meet.

A surface section $F \in |A|$ of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold $X$ of index 2 is a del Pezzo surface. In a small number of cases where $F$ has the simplest quotient singularities such as $\frac{1}{3}(2,2)$ or $\frac{1}{5}(2,4)$, the paper [RS03] studied such surfaces by means of projections from nonsingular points; this study foreshadows the constructions of our main example in Section 3, and hints at other possible examples; it would be interesting to study other cases of $X$ with $\dim |A| \geq 2$.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Notation.** We work throughout over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

$\operatorname{Cl} X$ denotes the Weil divisor class group;
$\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is weighted projective space;
$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a hypersurface of weight $d$.

**2.2. Construction** [Ale94]**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a mobile linear system without fixed components and $c := \operatorname{ct}(X, \mathcal{M})$ the canonical threshold of $(X, \mathcal{M})$. Thus the pair $(X, c\mathcal{M})$ is canonical but not terminal. Assume that $-(K_X + c\mathcal{M})$ is ample. Let $f \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a $(K + c\mathcal{M})$-crepant blowup in the Mori category so that $\widetilde{X}$ has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, $\rho(\widetilde{X}/X) = 1$, and

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} + c\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = f^*(K_X + c\mathcal{M}). \tag{2.2.1}$$

The exceptional locus $E \subset \widetilde{X}$ is an irreducible divisor. As in [Ale94], run a $(K+c\mathcal{M})$-MMP on $\widetilde{X}$. We get the following diagram (a Sarkisov link of type I or II)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \widetilde{X} \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[rr, dashed] & & \overline{X} \arrow[dr, "\overline{f}"] & \\ X & & & & \widehat{X} \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2.2}$$

where $\widetilde{X}$ and $\overline{X}$ have only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, $\rho(\widetilde{X}) = \rho(\overline{X}) = 2$, $f$ is a Mori extremal divisorial contraction, $\widetilde{X} \dashrightarrow \overline{X}$ a chain of log flips, and $\overline{f}$ a Mori extremal contraction, which is either a divisorial contraction to a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold $\widehat{X}$ or a Mori fibre space over a curve or surface $\widehat{X}$. In either case, $\rho(\widehat{X}) = 1$.

In what follows, for a divisor or linear system $D$ on $X$, we write $\widetilde{D}$ and $\overline{D}$ respectively for the birational transform of $D$ on $\widetilde{X}$ and $\overline{X}$.

Assume that $K_X + \lambda\mathcal{M} + \Xi \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ for some $\lambda > c$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Xi$. We can write

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} + \lambda\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} + \widetilde{\Xi} + aE \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + \lambda\mathcal{M} + \Xi) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0, \tag{2.2.3}$$

where $a > 0$ is the log discrepancy of $f$. Note that if $K_X + \lambda\mathcal{M} + \Xi \sim 0$ then it is a Cartier divisor and $\lambda\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\widetilde{\Xi}$ are integral Weil divisors, so that $a$ is an integer.

**2.2.4.** Assume that $\overline{f}$ is not birational. Then $\widehat{X}$ is either a smooth rational curve or a del Pezzo surface with at worst Du Val singularities and $\rho(\widehat{X}) = 1$ [MP08]. We also have $\overline{f}(\overline{E}) = \widehat{X}$, because no multiple $n\overline{E}$ of the exceptional divisor $\overline{E}$ of $f$ moves on $\widehat{X}$. In this case we write $\overline{F}$ for a general fiber of $\overline{f}$. Let $\Theta$ be an ample Weil divisor on $\widehat{X}$ whose class generates $\operatorname{Cl}\widehat{X}/\operatorname{Tors}$. If $\widehat{X}$ is a surface with $K_{\widehat{X}}^2 = 1$, we take $\Theta = -K_{\widehat{X}}$.

**2.2.5.** For $\widehat{X}$ a surface, one of the following holds:

(i) $-K_{\widehat{X}} \cdot \Theta = 3$, $-K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 3\Theta$, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\dim|\Theta| = 2$;

(ii) $-K_{\widehat{X}} \cdot \Theta = 2$, $-K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 4\Theta$, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ and $\dim|\Theta| = 1$;

(iii) $-K_{\widehat{X}} \cdot \Theta = 1$, $-K_{\widehat{X}} \sim d\Theta$, where $d := K_{\widehat{X}}^2 \le 6$, and the minimal resolution of $\widehat{X}$ is a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $9 - d$ points in *almost general* position. In this case, $\dim|\Theta| \le 1$. Moreover, by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing and orbifold Riemann–Roch [Rei87], for an ample Weil divisor $B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t\Theta$ we have
$$\dim|B| \le \frac{t(t+d)}{2d}.$$

**2.2.6.** Assume that the contraction $\overline{f}$ is birational. In this case, $\widehat{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and $\overline{f}$ contracts a unique exceptional divisor $\overline{F}$. Write $\widetilde{F} \subset \widetilde{X}$ and $F := f(\widetilde{F})$ for its birational transform. Set $\widehat{q} := \operatorname{q}\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{X})$. For a divisor $\overline{D}$ on $\overline{X}$, we put $\widehat{D} := \overline{f}_*\overline{D}$. One sees that $\overline{E} \ne \overline{F}$ (for otherwise $X \dashrightarrow \widehat{X}$ would be an isomorphism in codimension one).

**2.3. Computer search for $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds.** All $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds lie in a finite number of algebraic families [Kaw92]. In fact, Kawamata's proof gives a method of listing all possible "candidate" $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds, although the volume of computations makes a computer search appropriate. This method was used in [Suz04], [BS07a], [BS07b], [Pro07], [Pro10b], [Pro10c]. See [B$^+$] for the database of candidates for the numerical types of graded rings. We now outline the algorithm, starting with a useful remark.

**2.3.1. Remark.** The local Weil divisor class group of a threefold $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal point $P \in X$ is cyclic $\operatorname{Cl}(X, P) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_r$, generated by the canonical divisor $K_X$ [Kaw88, Lemma 5.1]. In particular, if $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold $X$, its local Gorenstein index $r$ at every terminal point is coprime to the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano index $q = \operatorname{qW}(X)$.

**2.3.2.** Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold. For simplicity we assume that $q := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) \geq 3$ (the only case we need). Let $A$ be a Weil divisor such that $-K_X \sim qA$ and $\mathbf{B}(X) = \{(r_P, b_P)\}$ the basket of orbifold points of $X$ [Rei87].

**Step 1.** We have the equality

$$-K_X \cdot c_2(X) + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{B}} \frac{r_P - 1}{r_P} = 24,$$

where $-K_X \cdot c_2(X) > 0$ [Kaw92]. Hence there is only a finite (but huge) number of possibilities for the basket $\mathbf{B}(X)$ and $-K_X \cdot c_2(X)$. Let $r := \mathrm{lcm}(\{r_P\})$ be the Gorenstein index of $X$.

**Step 2.** (1.1.1) says that $q \in \{3, \ldots, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$. Remark 2.3.1 implies that $\gcd(q, r) = 1$, which eliminates some possibilities.

**Step 3.** In each case we compute $A^3$ by the formula

$$A^3 = \frac{12}{(q-1)(q-2)} \left( 1 - \frac{A \cdot c_2}{12} + \sum_{P \in B} c_P(-A) \right).$$

(see [Suz04]), where $c_P$ is the correction term in the orbifold Riemann–Roch formula [Rei87]. The number $rA^3$ must be an integer [Suz04, Lemma 1.2].

**Step 4.** Next, the Bogomolov–Miyaoka inequality (see [Kaw92]) implies that

$$\left(4q^2 - 3q\right) A^3 \leq -4K_X \cdot c_2(X)$$

[Suz04, Prop. 2.2].

**Step 5.** Finally, by the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem we have $\chi(tA) = h^0(tA) = 0$ for $-q < t < 0$. We check this condition by using orbifold Riemann–Roch [Rei87].

See [B$^+$] for lists.

## 3. An example

3.1. **Overview.** This section treats the exceptional family of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds $X$ mentioned in Theorem 1.5. More precisely, it gives two independent constructions of two families of index 2 Fano 3-folds $X$ and $Y$, each having a single orbifold point of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,2)$, and satisfying

$$-K_X^3 = \tfrac{8}{3}, \quad \dim|-\tfrac{1}{2}K_X| = 4, \quad \text{and} \quad -K_Y^3 = \tfrac{5}{3}, \quad \dim|-\tfrac{1}{2}K_Y| = 3.$$

For a general nonsingular point $P \in X$, there is a birational projection $\psi_P \colon X \dashrightarrow Y'$ that blows $P$ up to a plane in $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset Y'$. Here $Y'$ is a special member of the family of $Y$, obtained by imposing a plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ on $Y'$. This projection $X \dashrightarrow Y'$ is analogous to the familiar "internal" projections between del Pezzo varieties $\psi_P\colon V_d \dashrightarrow V_{d-1}$.

Later in this section, we use this to give our second construction of $X$ by unprojection from $Y'$, written as a $5 \times 5$ Pfaffian variety specialised to contain $\mathbb{P}^2$ by a Jerry format [BKR10]. An interesting point is that there is also a Tom construction, but that it gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold of Picard rank 2.

At the same time, $X$ and $Y$ have Sarkisov links $X \dashrightarrow Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and $Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ initiated by the Kawamata blowup [CPR00, 3.4.2] of their respective $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,2)$ orbifold points. The aforementioned birational maps all fit together into a commutative diagram

(3.1.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \in X \arrow[rd, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed, "\psi_P"] & \\
Y' \arrow[rd, dashed] & Q \ni P \arrow[d, dashed, "\pi_P"] \\
 & \mathbb{P}^3
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\pi_P\colon Q \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is the usual linear projection from $P$ of the smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.

3.2. **Construction of** $Q \dashrightarrow X$ **and** $\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow Y$**.** Our first construction of $X$ and $Y$ works via the inverse map $Q \dashrightarrow X$ and $\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow Y$. Both of these blow up a curve $\Gamma \subset Q$ (resp. $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$) where $\Gamma$ is a rational quintic curve having (in general) a triple point with distinct tangent directions. In (3.1.1), the blown up curve $\Gamma$ is the same up to isomorphism in the two cases. In either case, $\Gamma \subset S$ is contained in a quadric cone $S = T_{Q,P_0} \cap Q$ (the tangent plane at $P_0 \in Q$) resp. $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We identify $S$ with $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)_{\langle u_1,u_2,v\rangle}$, and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ is the quintic curve given by $va_3(u_1,u_2) + b_5(u_1,u_2) = 0$.

Since the two constructions are very similar, and our second construction gives $Y$ directly, we concentrate on the case $Q \dashrightarrow X$. More precisely, we prove the following.

**3.3. Theorem.** *There exists a Sarkisov link*

(3.3.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \overline{Q} \arrow[ld, "\overline{f}"'] \arrow[rd, "f"] & \\
Q & & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is the smooth quadric, and $\overline{f}$ and $f$ are extremal divisorial contractions in the Mori category with respective exceptional* *divisors* $\overline{F}, \overline{S} \subset \overline{Q}$. *The endpoint* $X$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold with*

$$\operatorname{Cl} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2, \quad A^3 = 10/3, \quad \dim |A| = 4, \quad \mathrm{g}(X) = 14;$$

*having as its only singularity a terminal cyclic quotient point of type* $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,2)$ *at* $P_3 = f(\overline{S})$. *The map* $f$ *is the Kawamata blowup of* $P_3$*, with exceptional divisor* $\overline{S} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$. *The contraction* $\overline{f}$ *maps* $\overline{S}$ *isomorphically to the section* $S = Q \cap T_{P_0,Q}$ *of* $Q$ *by the tangent hypersection at a point* $P_0$*; it blows up a rational quintic curve* $\Gamma \subset S$ *as specified below.*

**3.4. Notation.** Let $S = Q \cap T_{P_0,Q}$ be a singular hyperplane section of $Q$, a quadratic cone with vertex $P_0 \in S$. We identify $S$ with the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ with homogeneous coordinates $u_1$, $u_2$, $v$. Let $\Gamma \subset S$ be an irreducible quintic curve given by the equation $va_3(u_1,u_2) + b_5(u_1,u_2) = 0$, where $a_3$ and $b_5$ are homogeneous polynomials of the indicated degrees with no common factor. One sees that $\Gamma$ is smooth outside $P$ and has a triple point at $P$ with (in general) three linearly independent tangent branches.

We first construct the birational extraction $\overline{f}$. According to [KM92, Th. 4.9] such an extraction, if it exists, is unique up to isomorphism over $Q$.

**3.5. Proposition.** *In the above notation there exists a divisorial extraction* $\overline{f}\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ *in the Mori category whose exceptional divisor* $\overline{F}$ *is contracted to* $\Gamma$. *The only singular point* $\overline{P} \in \overline{Q}$ *is a terminal cyclic quotient point of type* $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ *and the divisor* $-K_{\overline{Q}}$ *is ample, that is,* $\overline{Q}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano* 3*-fold.*

*Proof.* Let $\sigma\colon \widetilde{Q} \to Q$ be the blowup of $P$. The proper transform $\widetilde{S} \subset \widetilde{Q}$ of $S$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$. The proper transform $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \widetilde{S}$ of $\Gamma$ is a smooth rational curve $\widetilde{\Gamma} \sim \Sigma + 5\Upsilon$, where $\Sigma$ and $\Upsilon$ are the negative section and fiber of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Denote $S^* := \sigma^* S$ and let $D$ be the $\sigma$-exceptional divisor. Then

$$\widetilde{S} \sim S^* - 2D, \qquad -K_{\widetilde{Q}} \sim 3S^* - 2D \sim \widetilde{S} + 2S^*, \qquad \widetilde{S} \cap D = \Sigma.$$

Since $|S^* - D|$ is a free linear system, $-K_{\widetilde{Q}}$ is ample, that is, $\widetilde{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold. By Kodaira vanishing $H^1(\widetilde{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Q}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}} - \widetilde{S})) = 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Q}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}} - \widetilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Q}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get surjectivity of the restriction map

$$H^0(\widetilde{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Q}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}})) \longrightarrow H^0(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}(-K_{\widetilde{Q}})).$$

Note that

$$-K_{\widetilde{Q}}|_{\widetilde{S}} \sim 3S^*|_{\widetilde{S}} - 2D|_{\widetilde{S}} \sim 3(\Sigma + 2\Upsilon) - 2\Sigma = \Sigma + 6\Upsilon.$$

This implies that the linear system $\left|-K_{\widetilde{Q}}|_{\widetilde{S}} - \widetilde{\Gamma}\right|$ is free on $\widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a scheme theoretic intersection of members of $|-K_{\widetilde{Q}}|$.

Now let $\sigma' \colon Q' \to \widetilde{Q}$ be the blowup of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and let $F' \subset Q'$ be the $\sigma'$-exceptional divisor. Let $S' \subset Q'$ (resp. $D' \subset Q'$) be the proper transform of $\widetilde{S}$ (resp. $D$) and let $S^{**} := \sigma'^*(S^*)$. Clearly, $S' \simeq \widetilde{S} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a scheme-theoretic intersection of members of $|-K_{\widetilde{Q}}|$, the linear system $|-K_{Q'}| = |\sigma'^*(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) - F'|$ is base point free. In particular, $-K_{Q'}$ is nef. For the normal bundle of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ we have $c_1(N_{\widetilde{\Gamma}/\widetilde{Q}}) = 2g(\widetilde{\Gamma}) - 2 - K_{\widetilde{Q}} \cdot \widetilde{\Gamma} = 7$. Hence,

$$-K_{Q'}^3 = -K_{\widetilde{Q}}^3 - 3(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) \cdot \widetilde{\Gamma} + c_1(N_{\widetilde{\Gamma}/\widetilde{Q}}) = 26 \tag{3.5.1}$$

and so $-K_{Q'}$ is big, that is, $Q'$ is a weak Fano threefold. Since $D \cdot \widetilde{\Gamma} = (\Sigma \cdot \widetilde{\Gamma})_{\widetilde{S}} = 3$, there is a 2-secant (or tangent) line $l \subset D \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ to $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. For its proper transform $l' \subset D'$ we have $-K_{Q'} \cdot l' = -K_{\widetilde{Q}} \cdot l - F' \cdot l' \le 0$. Since $-K_{Q'}$ is nef, $-K_{Q'} \cdot l' = 0$ and $l'$ generates an extremal ray $R$ of the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(Q'/Q)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Supp}(R) \subset F' \cup D'$. Since

$$(-K_{Q'})^2 \cdot D' = (\sigma'^*(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) - F')^2 \cdot \sigma'^* D = (-K_{\widetilde{Q}})^2 \cdot D - D \cdot \widetilde{\Gamma} = 1,$$

we have $\operatorname{Supp}(R) \ne D'$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Supp}(R) \ne F'$. Therefore, $R$ is a flopping extremal ray. Consider the corresponding flop $\chi \colon Q' \dashrightarrow Q^+$. We have $\rho(Q^+/Q) = 2$, $Q^+$ is nonsingular, and $-K_{Q^+}$ is nef. Running the MMP over $Q$ gives the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q' \arrow[rr, dashed, "\chi"] \arrow[d, "\sigma'"] & & Q^+ \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
\widetilde{Q} \arrow[dr, "\sigma"] & & \overline{Q} \arrow[dl, "\overline{f}"'] \\
& Q &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\varphi$ is a divisorial contraction. Since $Q$ is smooth, $K_{\overline{Q}}$ cannot be nef over $Q$. Therefore, $\overline{f}$ is also a divisorial extremal contraction.

If $\varphi$ contracts $F^+$, the proper transform of $F'$, then $\widetilde{Q}$ and $\overline{Q}$ are isomorphic in codimension one over $Q$. Since $\rho(\widetilde{Q}/Q) = \rho(\overline{Q}/Q) = 1$, they must be isomorphic over $Q$. Then $Q'$ and $Q^+$ also must be be isomorphic over $Q$. On the other hand, $F'$ is ample with respect to the flopping extremal ray $R$. Hence, its proper transform $F^+$ must be anti-ample with respect to the corresponding extremal ray, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\varphi$ contracts $D^+$, the proper transform of $D'$, and $\overline{f}$ contracts $\overline{F} := \varphi(F^+)$. Moreover, $\overline{f}(\overline{F}) = \Gamma$ and $\overline{P} := \varphi(D^+)$ is a point. Since $\Gamma$ is not a locally complete intersection, the divisor $K_{\overline{Q}}$ is not Cartier [Cut88]. By [Mor82] there is only one possibility: $D^+ \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_{D^+}(D^+) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$, and $\overline{P} \in \overline{Q}$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index 2. Finally, $-K_{Q^+}$ is nef. Since the $\varphi$-exceptional divisor $D^+$ is contracted to a point and meets flopped curves, the divisor $-K_{\overline{Q}}$ is ample. $\square$

**3.5.2. Corollary.** *In the above notation the following holds.*

(i) $-K_{\overline{Q}} \sim 3\overline{f}^*S - \overline{F} \sim 2\overline{f}^*S + \overline{S}$;

(ii) $-K_{\overline{Q}}^3 = 53/2$;

(iii) *for the proper transform* $\overline{S} \subset \overline{Q}$ *of* $S$, *the restriction* $\overline{f}|_{\overline{S}} \colon \overline{S} \to S$ *is an isomorphism and* $\operatorname{Sing}(\overline{S}) = \operatorname{Sing}(\overline{Q}) = \{\overline{P}\}$;

(iv) $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(-K_{\overline{Q}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(1)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(\overline{S}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(-3)$.

*Proof.* Since outside of $P$ the map $\overline{f}$ is just the blowup of $\Gamma$, we have (i). By (3.5.1) we have

$$-K_{Q^+}^3 = -K_{Q'}^3 = 26, \quad -K_{\overline{Q}}^3 = -K_{Q^+}^3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{53}{2}.$$

This proves (ii).

Let $l' \subset Q'$ be a flopping curve. We have

$$-K_{Q'} \sim \sigma'^*(-K_{\widetilde{Q}}) - F' \sim S' + 2S^{**} \sim 3S' + 4D' + 2F'. \tag{3.5.3}$$

From this we get $F' \cdot l' > 0$, $S' \cdot l' = 0$, and $D' \cdot l' < 0$. If $l' \cap S' \neq \emptyset$, then $l' \subset S'$. Hence $l' = \Sigma$, the negative section of $S' \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$, and $D' \cap S' = l'$. On the other hand,

$$-K_{Q'} \cdot D' \cdot S' = -K_{\widetilde{Q}} \cdot D \cdot \widetilde{S} + \sigma'^*D \cdot F'^2 = 1.$$

The contradiction shows that $S' \cap l' = \emptyset$, that is, $\chi$ is an isomorphism near $S'$ and so $S^+ \simeq S' \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus $S^+$ intersects $D^+$ along a smooth rational curve, the negative section of $S^+ \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ and so $\overline{S} := \varphi(S^+) \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$, $\varphi(D^+) = \operatorname{Sing}(\overline{S}) = \operatorname{Sing}(\overline{Q})$. This proves (iii).

By (3.5.3) we have $\mathcal{O}_{S^+}(-K_{Q^+}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\Upsilon)$ and so $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(-K_{\overline{Q}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2}(1)$. By (i) and because $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(\overline{f}^*S) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2}(2)$ we have $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(\overline{S}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2}(-3)$. This proves (iv). $\square$

**3.5.4. Remark.** If the polynomial $a_3(u_1, u_2)$ in 3.4 has distinct roots, we can make our construction more explicit. In this case the intersection $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap D$ consists of three points $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$ in general position on $D \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Thus $D'$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 6. For $1 \le i < j \le 3$, let $l_{i,j}$ be the line of $D \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ through points $P_i$ and $P_j$, and set $l'_{i,j} \subset D'$ for its proper transform. Let $m_k := \sigma'^{-1}(P_k) \cap D'$.

Clearly, $m_k$ is a $-1$-curve on $D'$. Thus the six $-1$-curves $m_1$, $l'_{1,2}$, $m_2$, $l'_{2,3}$, $m_3$, $l'_{1,3}$, form a hexagon on $D'$. Moreover, they generate the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(D')$. One sees that $-K_{Q'} \cdot l'_{i,j} = 0$ and $-K_{Q'} \cdot m_k = 1$. This shows that the curves $l'_{i,j}$ generate a flopping extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(Q'/Q)$. Since the normal bundle $N_{l'_{i,j}/Q'}$ has a subbundle $N_{l'_{i,j}/D'} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, we have $N_{l'_{i,j}/Q'} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ [Rei83, Remark 5.2]. Hence $\chi$ is the simplest Atiyah–Kulikov flop along each $l'_{i,j}$. The restriction $\chi|_{D'}$ extends to a morphism $\chi|_{D'} \colon D' \to D^+$ which is a contraction of the $-1$-curves $l'_{i,j}$ [Rei83, Remark 5.13]. Hence $D^+ \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and the composition map $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq D \dashrightarrow D' \to D^+ \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ is just the classical Cremona quadratic involution.

*Proof of Theorem* 3.3. By (iv) of Corollary 3.5.2 the curves in $\overline{S}$ generate a $K$-negative extremal ray. Moreover, the divisor $\overline{S}$ satisfies the contractibility criterion [Kaw96]. Hence there is a Mori contraction $f \colon \overline{Q} \to X$ such that $f(\overline{S})$ is a point of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,2)$. By (i) $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2$ and $\mathrm{Cl}\, X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (because the class of $\overline{S}$ is a primitive element of $\mathrm{Cl}\,\overline{Q}$). Finally,

$$-K_X^3 = -K_{\overline{Q}}^3 + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{80}{3}$$

and by the orbifold Riemann–Roch $\dim |A| = 4$. □

3.9. **Second construction via unprojection.** The codimension 3 model $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2,2,3)_{\langle x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,y_1,y_2,z\rangle}$ is given by the maximal Pfaffians of a general $5 \times 5$ skew matrix $M$ of degrees

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ & & 2 & 2 \\ & & & 3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9.1}$$

see [CR02] and [BKR10] for conventions and background. As in [CR02], this variety is a regular pullback from a weighted Grassmann variety $\mathrm{wGr}(2,5)$, and the general $Y$ is a quasismooth $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with a single $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,2)$ point at $P_z$. Indeed, the three Pfaffians partners of $m_{45} = z$ are $zx_i = \cdots$ for $i = 1,2,3$, so the point $P_z \in Y$ is the orbifold point $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,2)_{\langle x_4,y_1,y_2\rangle}$. Smoothness outside $P_z$ comes from Bertini's theorem or explicit computation. One reads the Hilbert series

$$\frac{1 - 2t^3 - 3t^4 + 3t^5 + 2t^6 - t^8)}{\prod_{a\in[1,1,1,1,2,2,3]}(1-t^a)} \tag{3.9.2}$$

directly from the Pfaffian format.

The birational map $Y' \dashrightarrow X$ that we use to construct $X$ contracts an unprojection divisor, the plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset Y'$. We impose $\mathbb{P}^2$ on $Y'$ by specializing the entries of the matrix $M$, moving the general $Y$ to a special $Y'$ containing $D = \mathbb{P}^2_{\langle x_1,x_2,x_3\rangle}$. The key point of [BKR10] is that there are several different formats that arrange for $Y'$ to contain $D$, and they lead to topologically different $X$. Our construction of $X$ is a routine but interesting exercise in these techniques.

The ideal of $D$ is the complete intersection ideal $I_D = (x_4, y_1, y_2, z)$. We construct $Y'$ using Jerry$_{45}$: we require the 7 entries in the 4th and 5th column of $M'$ to be in $I_D$. A simple case is

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & -x_2 & y_1 & n_2x_4 \\ & x_1 & y_2 & y_1 \\ & & n_1x_4 & y_2 \\ & & & z \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9.3}$$

where $n_1$ and $n_2$ are linear forms (for example, $n_2 = x_4 - x_1$ and $n_1 = x_4 - \lambda x_3$ with $\lambda \neq 1$). The methods of [BKR10, 6.1] give that $Y'$ defined by the Pfaffians of $M'$ is smooth except for the $\frac{1}{3}$ orbifold point at $P_z$ and ordinary nodes at 5 points of $D$. This constructs $Y'$ containing $D = \mathbb{P}^2$, and hence its unprojections $X$. The map $Y' \dashrightarrow X$ blows up the ideal of $D$ in $Y'$ to make it a Cartier divisor (introducing flopping $(-1,-1)$-curves over the 5 nodes); this makes $D$ into a copy of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, which contracts to a smooth point of $X$.

3.10. **Diagram** (3.1.1) **in equations.** The existence of $X$ is now established. However, it is interesting to expand on how the maps of (3.1.1) come out in coordinates. As in 2.2 above, we start from the general rational quintic curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a triple point. It is contained in the quadric cone $S : (x_1x_3 = x_2^2)$; we identify $S$ with $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)_{\langle u_1,u_2,v\rangle}$ by setting

$$x_1 = u_1^2, \quad x_2 = u_1u_2, \quad x_3 = u_2^2, \quad x_4 = v.$$

and take $\Gamma : (va_3(u_1,u_2) + b_5(u_1,u_2) = 0)$. Every term in $a_3$ is divisible by $u_1$ or $u_2$, and every term in $b_5$ is divisible by $u_1^3$ or $u_2^3$, so we write

$$a_3 = u_1m_2 - u_2m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_5 = u_1^3n_2 - u_2^3n_1$$

with $m_i, n_i$ linear in $x_1, x_2, x_3$. Then $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ has equations

$$\bigwedge^2 N = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3n_1 + x_4m_1 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1n_2 + x_4m_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.10.1}$$

The rational map $\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow Y$ adjoins $y_1, y_2, z$ subject to the equations $\operatorname{Pf} M = 0$ with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & -x_2 & y_1 + \mu_{11}x_4^2 & x_4n_2 + \mu_{21}x_4^2 \\ & x_1 & y_2 + \mu_{12}x_4^2 & y_1 + \mu_{22}x_4^2 \\ & & x_4n_1 + \mu_{13}x_4^2 & y_2 + \mu_{23}x_4^2 \\ & & & z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.10.2}$$

where $m_1 = \mu_{11}x_1 + \mu_{12}x_2 + \mu_{13}x_3$ and $m_2 = \mu_{21}x_1 + \mu_{22}x_2 + \mu_{23}x_3$.

Each entry of the last two columns of $M$ is in $I_D = (x_4, y_1, y_2, z)$, where $D$ corresponds to the plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ given by $x_4 = 0$. Thus our description of $Y'$ as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along $\Gamma \subset S$, together with our choice of coordinates, puts it directly in Jerry$_{45}$ format, containing the plane $x_4 = 0$, and with 5 nodes corresponding to the locus $v = b_5 = 0$ in $S$.

In (3.10.2), the two Pfaffians without $z$ give $y_1, y_2$ as the solutions of

$$N \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{3.10.3}$$

(with denominator $x_1x_3 - x_2^2$) and the three Pfaffians involving $z$ are

$$z \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + \mu_{11}x_4^2 & x_4n_2 + \mu_{21}x_4^2 \\ y_2 + \mu_{12}x_4^2 & y_1 + \mu_{22}x_4^2 \\ x_4n_1 + \mu_{13}x_4^2 & y_2 + \mu_{23}x_4^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compare the "Double Jerry" format of [BKR10, 9.2].

The unprojection $Y' \dashrightarrow X$ is defined by adjoining $x_5$ to the homogeneous coordinate ring of $Y'$. The equations involving $x_5$ are

$$x_5 \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \bigwedge^2 N. \tag{3.10.4}$$

There is also an equation for $x_5z$ that exists by the Kustin–Miller unprojection theorem, but we do not know any neat derivation of it. In the general setting, it is a "long equation", with the right hand side having 144 terms [BKR10, 9.2]. In the "fairly typical" case (3.9.3), a little game of syzygies gives that $x_1(x_5z + n_1x_3y_1 + n_2x_1y_2 + n_1n_2x_2x_4)$ is in the ideal generated by the Pfaffians of $M'$ and (3.10.4), so that the $x_5z$ equation is

$$x_5z + n_1x_3y_1 + n_2x_1y_2 + n_1n_2x_2x_4 = 0. \tag{3.10.5}$$

3.11. **The $\mathrm{Tom}_1$ case.** In cases such as these, our experience is that there is usually a different method of imposing the plane $\mathbb{P}^2_{\langle x_1,x_2,x_3\rangle}$ on $Y$: namely $\mathrm{Tom}_1$ requires that all the entries except those in Row 1 are in the ideal $I_D = (x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3)$. The nonsingularity calculation of [BKR10] shows that a general $\mathrm{Tom}_1$ matrix defines $Y'$ that is quasi-smooth except for 4 nodes on $\mathbb{P}^2$. As before, its unprojection is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold $X$ of index 2 with a single $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,2)$ quotient singularity and $\dim|A| = 4$. However, one checks that it is a regular pullback of a weighted form of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and has Picard rank 2. The point is that one can use row and column operations to put the matrix in the normal form

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & q_1 & q_2 \\ & x_4 & y_1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & y_2 \\ & & & z \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_3$ appears only in the quadratic terms $q_1, q_2$ (we omit the details). The zeros imply that several of the Pfaffians are monomial equations, so $X$ has rank $> 1$.

The Pfaffians of $M_0$ are the $2 \times 2$ minors of the $3 \times 3$ array

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} * & x_1 & q_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & y_2 \\ q_1 & y_1 & z \end{pmatrix},$$

and the unprojection just puts the unprojection variable $x_5$ of degree 1 in place of the $*$. The result is the Segre embedding of the product of two copies of the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$. Taking a regular pullback by setting $q_1, q_2$ to be forms of degree 2 in $x_i$, $y_j$ gives $X$.

From the point of view of diagram (3.1.1), the mechanism seems to be that the blowup of a general quintic curve in the quadric cone $S \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ (a curve of genus 2) initiates a Sarkisov link to a general codimension 3 Pfaffian $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2,2,3)$. The $\mathrm{Jerry}_{45}$ and specialization to $Y'$ containing a plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ corresponds to $\Gamma$ acquiring a triple point at the cone point of $S$, as discussed above. The $\mathrm{Tom}_1$ specialization presumably corresponds to $\Gamma$ breaking up as a line plus an elliptic quartic.

## 4. On Fano threefolds of large Fano index

**4.1.** Recall that a *polarized variety* is a pair $(X, S)$ consisting of a projective algebraic variety $X$ and an ample Cartier divisor $S$ on $X$. The $\Delta$-*genus* of $(X, S)$ is defined as follows [Fuj90]:

$$\Delta(X, S) = \dim X + S^{\dim X} - \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(S)).$$

It is known that $\Delta(X,S)\ge 0$ and polarized varieties of small $\Delta$-genera are classified [Fuj90]. The following easy consequence of Fujita's classification is very useful for us.

**4.2. Lemma.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and $S$ an ample Weil divisor on $X$ such that $\dim|S|>0$, $|S|$ has no fixed components, and $-K_X\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda S$ with $\lambda\ge 2$. Assume that the pair $(X,|S|)$ is terminal. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $X\simeq\mathbb{P}^3$, $\lambda=4$, $\dim|S|=3$;
- (ii) $X\simeq\mathbb{P}^3$, $\lambda=2$, $\dim|S|=9$;
- (iii) $X\simeq X_2\subset\mathbb{P}^4$ *is a smooth quadric,* $\lambda=3$, $\dim|S|=4$;
- (iv) $X$ *is a del Pezzo threefold of degree* $1\le d\le 5$, $\lambda=2$, $\dim|S|=d+1$;
- (v) $X\simeq\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)$, $\lambda=5/2$, $\dim|S|=6$.

*Proof.* Replace $S$ with a general member of $|S|$. Since $(X,|S|)$ is terminal, the surface $S$ is smooth and contained in the smooth locus of $X$ [Ale94, 1.22]. By the adjunction formula we have $-K_S\sim(\lambda-1)S|_S$. Hence $S$ is a (smooth) del Pezzo surface and $(\lambda-1)^2S^3=K_S^2$. Since $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)=0$ and $H^i(S,\mathcal{O}_S(S))=0$ for $i>0$, by Riemann–Roch we have

$$\dim H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(S))=\dim H^0(S,\mathcal{O}_S(S))+1=\frac{\lambda}{2}S^3+2.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta(X,S)=3+S^3-\frac{\lambda}{2}S^3-2=1+\frac{(2-\lambda)S^3}{2}=1+\frac{(2-\lambda)K_S^2}{2(\lambda-1)^2}.$$

If $S\simeq\mathbb{P}^2$, then $\mathcal{O}_S(S)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l)$, where $3=(\lambda-1)l\ge l$. Then $\Delta(X,S)=0$ and [Fuj90, Th. 5.10 and 5.15] gives cases (i) and (v). If $S\simeq\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$, then $\mathcal{O}_S(S)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(k,k)$, where $k(\lambda-1)=2$. So, $\lambda=2$ or 3, $\Delta(X,S)=0$, and [Fuj90, Th. 5.10 and 5.15] gives cases (ii) or (iii). Finally, if $S\not\simeq\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$, then $K_S$ is a primitive element of $\operatorname{Pic}S$. Hence $\lambda=2$ and $\Delta(X,S)=1$. Then we have case (iv) [Fuj90, Ch. 1, §9]. □

**4.3. Lemma (cf.** [Pro10b, Th. 1.4 (vii)]**).** *Let $X$ be a Fano threefold with terminal singularities and with $q:=\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)\ge 5$. Let $A$ be a Weil divisor such that $-K_X\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}qA$. If $\dim|A|\ge 2$, then $X\simeq\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)$.*

*Proof.* We first consider the case $\operatorname{rank}\operatorname{Cl}X=1$ and $\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)=\operatorname{qW}(X)$ (in particular, $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and $-K_X\sim qA$). Running the computer search 2.3 we get $-K_X^3\ge 125/2$. Then by [Pro07] we have $X\simeq\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)$.

Next consider the case $\operatorname{Cl}X\simeq\mathbb{Z}^r$ with $r>1$ (in particular, $\operatorname{Cl}X$ is torsion free). We get a contradiction in this case. Run the MMP. At the end we get a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(\overline{X}) = q \geq 5$ and $\dim|\overline{A}| \geq 2$, where $-K_{\overline{X}} \sim q\overline{A}$. By the above $\overline{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ and $\dim|A| = \dim|\overline{A}| = 2$. Let $\overline{P} \in \overline{X}$ be the point of type $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$. Consider the final step $g\colon \widetilde{X} \to \overline{X}$ of the MMP, a divisorial contraction, and let $\widetilde{E} \subset \widetilde{X}$ be its exceptional divisor. There are the following possibilities:

(a) $g(\widetilde{E}) = \overline{P}$. Then $K_{\widetilde{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*K_{\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{E}$, $\widetilde{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{E}}(\widetilde{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$ [Kaw96]. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{E}}(-K_{\widetilde{X}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. We get a contradiction because $-K_{\widetilde{X}}$ is divisible by $q \geq 5$.

(b) $g(\widetilde{E})$ is either a smooth point or a curve. In this case $g(\widetilde{E}) \not\subset \mathrm{Bs}\,|\overline{A}| = \{\overline{P}\}$. On the other hand, $g$ is a $K_{\widetilde{X}}$-negative contraction, a contradiction.

Finally assume that $\mathrm{Cl}\,X$ has a torsion element $\xi \in \mathrm{Cl}\,X$ of order $n \geq 2$, defining a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$-cover $\pi\colon X' \to X$ that is étale in codimension 2. By the above, $X' \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. Since $\dim H^0(X', \pi^*A) = \dim H^0(X, A) = 3$, the action of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ on $H^0(X', \pi^*A) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(1))$ is trivial. On the other hand, we can take independent sections $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(1))$ as orbinates at the $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-point $P' \in X'$. This contradicts that the point $(X', P')/\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ is terminal. $\square$

Similar to Lemma 4.3 one can prove the following.

**4.4. Lemma (cf.** [Pro10b, Th. 1.4 (vi)]**).** *Let $X$ be a Fano threefold with terminal singularities and with $q := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \geq 7$. Let $A$ be a Weil divisor such that $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA$. If $\dim|A| \geq 1$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.*

**4.5. Proposition.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and let $q := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a linear system on $X$ such that $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq 4$ and $-K_X \sim 2\mathcal{M} + \Xi$, where $\Xi$ is an effective Weil divisor, $\Xi \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{Cl}\,X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, the class of $\Xi$ generates $\mathrm{Cl}\,X$, $q = 2n+1$ is odd, and $\mathcal{M} \sim n\Xi$. Moreover, one of the following holds:*

(i) $q = 13, \quad X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,5)$;
(ii) $q = 11, \quad X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$;
(iii) $q = 9, \quad X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$;
(iv) $q = 7, \quad X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3)$;
(v) $q = 5, \quad X \simeq X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2,2^2,3)$;
(vi) $q = 5, \quad X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3,2)$;
(vii) $q = 3, \quad X \simeq X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.

*Proof.* By our assumption $q \geq 3$. If $q \geq 9$, then the assertion follows by [Pro10b, Prop. 3.6] and Theorem 1.3 (i). So we assume that $3 \leq q \leq 8$.

Let $A$ be a Weil divisor such that $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA$ and let $n$ be the integer such that $\mathcal{M} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} nA$. If $\mathrm{Cl}\,X$ is torsion free, we can run the computer search 2.3. We get $q \neq 4$ and $\mathrm{g}(\widehat{X}) \geq 21$. Then by Theorem 1.3 we get one of cases (iv)–(vii). Thus from now on we assume that $\operatorname{Cl} X$ contains a nontrivial torsion element.

We may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ has no fixed components. If the pair $(X, \mathcal{M})$ is terminal, then $X$ is as in (vi) or (vii) by Lemma 4.2. Assume that the pair $(X, \mathcal{M})$ is not terminal. Apply construction 2.2 to $(X, \mathcal{M})$. We can write

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} + 2\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} + \widetilde{\Xi} + a\widetilde{E} \sim f^*(K_X + 2\mathcal{M} + \Xi) \sim 0,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence,

$$K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{M}} + \overline{\Xi} + a\overline{E} \sim 0. \tag{4.5.1}$$

First, we consider the case where $\overline{f}$ is not birational. Then we are in the situation of 2.2.4. In particular, $\widehat{X}$ is either $\mathbb{P}^1$ or a del Pezzo surface as in 2.2.5.

Assume that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\overline{f}$-horizontal. Restricting the relation (4.5.1) to a general fiber $\overline{F}$ of $\overline{f}$ we get

$$-K_{\overline{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2\overline{\mathcal{M}}|_{\overline{F}} + \overline{\Xi}|_{\overline{F}} + a\overline{E}|_{\overline{F}},$$

where the divisors $\overline{\mathcal{M}}|_{\overline{F}}$ and $\overline{E}|_{\overline{F}}$ are ample. This is possible only if $\overline{F} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, and $a = 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}} - \overline{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}} - \overline{F})) \geq \dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) - \dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) \geq 2.$$

Thus $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \ni \overline{F} + \overline{L}$, where $\overline{L} \in |\mathcal{M} - \overline{F}|$ is a moveable divisor. Hence there is a decomposition $-K_X \sim 2F + 2L + \Xi$. In particular, $q \geq 5$ and $F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A$. This implies that $\overline{f}$ has no multiple fibers. So, the group $\operatorname{Cl} \overline{X}$ is torsion free. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, the class of $\overline{E}$ is not divisible in $\operatorname{Cl} \overline{X}$. Hence $\operatorname{Cl} X$ is also torsion free, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\overline{f}$-vertical. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{f}^*\mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear system of Weil divisors on $\widehat{X}$ with $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 4$. We use the notation of 2.2.4. Let $\overline{G} = \overline{f}^*\Theta$. We can write $\mathcal{B} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t\Theta$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then

$$-K_{\overline{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2t\overline{G} + \overline{\Xi} + a\overline{E},$$

so $8 \geq q \geq 2t + 1$ and $t \leq 3$. If $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, we obviously have $\dim \mathcal{B} \leq 2$. Therefore, $\widehat{X}$ is a surface. Now we use 2.2.5.

If $t = 1$, then $\dim \mathcal{B} \leq 2$, a contradiction. Consider the case $t = 2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 4$ only in the case $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Then $q \geq 5$, $G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A$, and $m = 2$. Since $\dim |G| \geq 2$, by Lemma 4.3 we have $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. Consider the case $t = 3$. Then $q \geq 7$ and $G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A$. Since $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 4$, we have either $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$, or $K_{\widehat{X}}^2 = 1$. In either case

$\dim |G| \geq 1$ (recall that if $K_{\widehat{X}}^2 = 1$, we take $\Theta = -K_{\widehat{X}}$). By Lemma 4.4 we get $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.

Now assume that $\overline{f}$ is birational. We have

$$-K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 2\widehat{\mathcal{M}} + \widehat{\Xi} + a\widehat{E},$$

where $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \geq \dim \mathcal{M}$. If $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ is not terminal, we can repeat the procedure 2.2 and continue. Thus we may assume that $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ is as in (i)–(vii). In particular, $\operatorname{Cl} \widehat{X}$ is torsion free and $\widehat{\Xi} + a\widehat{E} \sim \widehat{\Theta}$, where $\widehat{\Theta}$ is the ample generator of $\operatorname{Cl} \widehat{X}$. So, $\widehat{\Xi} = 0$, $a = 1$, and $\widehat{E} \sim \widehat{\Theta}$. In particular, the class of $\widetilde{E}$ is a primitive element of $\operatorname{Cl} \widetilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $\operatorname{Cl} X$ is also torsion free. □

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

**5.1.** Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold such that $-K_X \sim 2A$ for a primitive element $A \in \operatorname{Cl} X$. Assume that $K_X$ is not Cartier and $\dim |A| \geq 4$. Apply Construction 2.2 with $\mathcal{M} := |A|$ and $\Xi = 0$. By Lemma 4.2 the pair $(X, \mathcal{M})$ is not terminal. Hence in the notation of (2.2.3), the discrepancy $a > 0$. On the other hand, $a$ is an integer. Therefore, $a \geq 1$.

**5.2. Lemma.** *The map* $\overline{f}$ *in* (2.2.2) *is birational.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $\overline{f}$ is not birational. Let $\overline{F}$ be a general fiber of $\overline{f}$. If $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\overline{f}$-vertical, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{f}^* \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ is a linear system on $\widehat{X}$ whose class generates $\operatorname{Cl} \widehat{X} / \operatorname{Tors}$. But then $\dim \overline{\mathcal{M}} = \dim \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \leq 2$ by 2.2.5, contradicting our assumption.

Thus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\overline{f}$-horizontal. Then $-K_{\overline{F}} = 2\overline{\mathcal{M}}|_{\overline{F}} + a\overline{E}|_{\overline{F}}$. This implies that $\overline{F} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, that is, $\overline{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle and $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}} - \overline{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}} - \overline{F})) \geq 2.$$

Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \ni \overline{F} + \overline{L}$, where $\overline{F}$ and $\overline{L}$ are moveable divisors. This contradicts $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2$. □

**5.3.** Thus $\overline{f}$ is birational. In this case, $\widehat{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano and

$$-K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 2\widehat{\mathcal{M}} + a\widehat{E} \quad \text{with} \quad a > 0, \quad \dim \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \geq 4. \tag{5.3.1}$$

By Proposition 4.5 the class of $\widehat{E}$ is the ample generator of $\operatorname{Cl} \widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $\widehat{q} = 2n + 1$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |n\widehat{E}|$. Moreover, $\widehat{X}$ belongs to one of the possibilities listed in Proposition 4.5.

Assume first that $\widehat{q} > 3$. The case $\widehat{q} = 3$ will be considered in the next section. We make frequent use of the following easy observation.

**5.3.2. Remark.** Assume that in the notation of 5.3 there is a member $\widehat{M} \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\widehat{M} = \widehat{L}_1 + \widehat{L}_2$, where $\widehat{L}_1$ and $\widehat{L}_2$ are effective ample Weil divisors. Then either $\operatorname{Supp} \widehat{L}_1 = \widehat{E}$ or $\operatorname{Supp} \widehat{L}_2 = \widehat{E}$. Indeed, we can write

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{L}_1 + \overline{L}_2 + \gamma \overline{F},$$

where $\overline{L}_i$ is the proper transform of $\widehat{L}_i$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M} \sim f_* \chi_*^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f_* \chi_*^{-1} \overline{L}_1 + f_* \chi_*^{-1} \overline{L}_2 + \gamma F.$$

Since the class of $A$ is a primitive element of $\operatorname{Cl} X$, we have either $f_* \chi_*^{-1} \overline{L}_1 = 0$ or $f_* \chi_*^{-1} \overline{L}_2 = 0$ (and $\gamma = 0$).

**5.3.3. Corollary.** *Assume that in the notation of* 5.3 *we have* $\dim |n\widehat{E}| = 4$. *Then for any partition* $n = n_1 + n_2$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *either* $\dim |n_1 \widehat{E}| \leq 0$ *or* $\dim |n_2 \widehat{E}| \leq 0$.

*Proof.* In this case $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = |n\widehat{E}|$ is a complete linear system. Hence, one can take $\widehat{L}_i \in |n_i \widehat{E}|$. □

We consider the cases of Proposition 4.5 separately.

**5.3.4. Cases (i), (iii) and (v).** Then $\dim |n\widehat{E}| = 4$ and $n$ is even. Apply Corollary 5.3.3 with $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$. We get a contradiction because $\dim |n_i \widehat{E}| > 0$.

**5.3.5. Case (ii), that is, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$.** Then $n = 5$ and $\dim |n\widehat{E}| = 5$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |5\widehat{E}|$ is a subsystem of codimension $\leq 1$. Since $\dim 2\widehat{E}| = 1$, we can take $\widehat{L}_1 \in |2\widehat{E}|$ so that $\widehat{L}_1 \neq 2\widehat{E}$. Since $\dim |3\widehat{E}| = 2$, there exists a one-dimensional family of divisors $\widehat{L}_2 \in |3\widehat{E}|$ such that $\widehat{L}_1 + \widehat{L}_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. So we may assume that $\widehat{L}_2 \neq 3\widehat{E}$. By Remark 5.3.2 we get a contradiction.

**5.3.6. Case (iv), that is, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.** Then $n = 3$ and $\dim |n\widehat{E}| = 6$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |3\widehat{E}|$ is a subsystem of codimension $\leq 2$. Since $\dim |\widehat{E}| = 1$, we can take $\widehat{L}_1 \in |\widehat{E}|$ so that $\widehat{L}_1 \neq \widehat{E}$. Since $\dim |2\widehat{E}| = 3$, there exists a one-dimensional family of divisors $\widehat{L}_2 \in |2\widehat{E}|$ such that $\widehat{L}_1 + \widehat{L}_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. So we may assume that $\widehat{L}_2 \neq 2\widehat{E}$. By Remark 5.3.2 we get a contradiction.

**5.3.7. Case (vi), that is, $\widehat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$.** Then $n = 2$ and $\dim |n\widehat{E}| = 6$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |2\widehat{E}|$ is a subsystem of codimension $\leq 2$.

Assume that $\overline{f}(\overline{F})$ is a curve. Then

$$K_{\overline{X}} = \overline{f}^* K_{\widehat{X}} + \overline{F}, \quad \overline{E} = \overline{f}^* \widehat{E} - \gamma \overline{F}.$$

Since any member of $|\widehat{E}|$ is smooth in codimension one, $\gamma \leq 1$. Moreover, since $n\overline{E}$ is not moveable for any $n$, we have $\gamma > 0$. Hence, $\gamma = 1$. So,

$$K_{\overline{X}} + 5\overline{E} + 4\overline{F} = \overline{f}^*(K_{\widehat{X}} + 5\widehat{E}) \sim 0.$$

This implies that $-K_X$ is divisible by 4, a contradiction.

Hence $\overline{f}(\overline{F}) \in \widehat{X}$ is a point, say $\widehat{P}$. If $\widehat{P} \in \widehat{X}$ is the points of index 2, then $\overline{f}$ is the blowup of the maximal ideal [Kaw96]. In this case $\overline{X}$ has exactly two the extremal contractions: $\overline{f}$ and the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle induced by the projection $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. On the other hand, the second contraction must be birational, a contradiction. Hence $\overline{P} \in \widehat{X}$ is a smooth point.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} := |\widehat{E}|$. Take a general member $\widehat{L}_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Dimension count shows that there exists $\widehat{L}_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ such that $\widehat{L}_1 + \widehat{L}_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. If $\widehat{L}_2 \neq \widehat{E}$, we get a contradiction by Remark 5.3.2. Thus $\widehat{L}_2 = \widehat{E}$ for any choice of $\widehat{L}_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} + \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ and we can write $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathcal{L}} + \overline{E} + \gamma\overline{F}$, where $\gamma \geq 0$. Then

$$0 \sim K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{M}} + \overline{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{L}} + 3\overline{E} + 2\gamma\overline{F}.$$

Note that the only base point of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is the point of index 2. Hence, $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}' \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the subsystem consisting of elements passing through $\widehat{P}$. Then we can write

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}' - \delta\overline{F} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathcal{L}} - \delta\overline{F}, \qquad \delta > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{L}} + 3\overline{E} + 2\gamma\overline{F} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{L}}' + 3\overline{E} + 2(\delta + \gamma)\overline{F}.$$

This gives us $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2\mathcal{L}' + 2(\delta + \gamma)F$ which contradicts $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.5 (continued)

**6.1.** In this section we consider the case (vii) that is, we assume that $\widehat{X} = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = |\mathcal{O}_Q(1)|$ is a complete linear system. In particular, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is base point free. Hence, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^* \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. We also have $\widehat{E} \in |\mathcal{O}_Q(1)|$ and $\overline{f}(\overline{F}) \subset \widehat{E}$.

**6.2. Lemma.** $\Gamma := \overline{f}(\overline{F})$ *is a curve.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\overline{f}(\overline{F})$ is a point. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}' \subset \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ be the subsystem consisting of elements passing through $\overline{f}(\overline{F})$. Then we can write

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^* \widehat{\mathcal{M}}' - \delta\overline{F} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathcal{M}} - \delta\overline{F}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^*(K_{\widehat{X}} + 2\widehat{\mathcal{M}}' + \widehat{E}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^*(K_{\widehat{X}} + 2\widehat{\mathcal{M}} + \widehat{E}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
$$\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{M}} + \overline{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\overline{X}} + 2\overline{\mathcal{M}}' + \overline{E} + 2\delta\overline{F}.$$

This gives us $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2\mathcal{M}' + 2\delta F$ which contradicts $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 2$. □

**6.3. Lemma.** *$\overline{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$, $\mathbb{F}_2$, or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

*Proof.* Clearly, $\widehat{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, the pair $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{S})$ is plt. Since $K_{\overline{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{f}^* K_{\widehat{X}} + \overline{F}$ and $\widehat{S}$ is smooth at the generic point of $\Gamma$, we have

$$K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{S} \sim \overline{f}^*(K_{\widehat{X}} + \widehat{S}). \tag{6.3.1}$$

Hence the pair $(\overline{X}, \overline{S})$ is plt and the divisor $K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{S}$ is Cartier. By the adjunction, the surface $\overline{S}$ has at worst Du Val singularities. Moreover, $K_{\overline{S}} = \overline{f}|_{\overline{S}}^* K_{\widehat{S}}$, that is, the restriction $\overline{f}_{\overline{S}}$ is either an isomorphism or the minimal resolution of $\widehat{S}$. □

**6.4. Lemma.** *$-K_{\overline{X}}$ is nef.*

*Proof.* Recall that by our construction $\overline{X}$ has exactly two extremal rays. Denote them by $R_1$ and $R_2$. One of them, say $R_1$, is generated by nontrivial fibers of $\overline{f}$. Let $C$ be an extremal curve on $\overline{X}$ that generates $R_2$. Assume that $-K_{\overline{X}}$ is not nef. Then $K_{\overline{X}} \cdot C > 0$ and $C$ must be a flipped curve (because a divisorial contraction must be $K$-negative in our situation). Since $-K_{\overline{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{S} + 2\overline{f}^*\widehat{S}$, we have $\overline{S} \cdot C < 0$. In particular, $C \subset \overline{S}$. Since $C$ is a flipped curve, it cannot be moveable on $\overline{S}$, that is, $\dim |C| = 0$. By Lemma 6.3 the only possibility is $\overline{E} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ and $C$ is the negative section of $\mathbb{F}_2$. But in this case $C$ is contracted by $\overline{f}$ to a point, that is, the class of $C$ lies in $R_1$, a contradiction. □

**6.5. Lemma.** *$K_{\overline{X}}$ is not Cartier at some point of $\overline{S}$.*

*Proof.* By (6.3.1) the divisor $K_{\overline{X}}$ is Cartier outside of $\overline{S}$. Assume that $K_{\overline{X}}$ is Cartier near $\overline{S}$. Since $-K_{\overline{X}}$ is nef, the map $\overline{X} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is either an isomorphism or a flop. In both cases $\widetilde{X}$ has the same type of singularities as $\overline{X}$, that is, $K_{\widetilde{X}}$ is Cartier. By the classification of extremal contractions of Gorenstein terminal treefolds [Cut88] the divisor $2K_X$ is Cartier. This contradicts the following remark. □

**6.5.1. Corollary.** *The singularities of $\Gamma$ are worse than locally complete intersection points.*

*Proof.* Indeed otherwise by [KM92, Prop. 4.10.1] the map $\overline{f}$ is the blowup of $\Gamma$ and $K_{\overline{X}}$ is Cartier. □

**6.5.2. Corollary.** *$\widehat{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$, the curve $\Gamma$ is not a Cartier divisor on $\widehat{E}$, and $\Gamma$ is singular at the vertex of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$.*

**6.6. Lemma.** $\deg \Gamma = 5$.

*Proof.* Let $\widehat{C} \subset \widehat{E}$ be a general hyperplane section. Since $-K_{\overline{X}}$ is nef,

$$0 \le -K_{\overline{X}} \cdot \overline{C} = -K_{\widehat{X}} \cdot \widehat{C} - (\Gamma \cdot \widehat{C})_{\widehat{E}} = 6 - \deg \Gamma.$$

Since $\Gamma$ is not a Cartier divisor on $\widehat{E}$, its degree should be odd. If $\deg \Gamma \neq 5$, then $\Gamma$ is either a line or a twisted cubic. In particular, it is smooth, a contradiction. □

**6.7.** Thus $\deg \Gamma = 5$ and $\Gamma$ is singular. Then the curve $\Gamma$ can be given, in some coordinate system $x_1, x_1', x_2$ in $S \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$, by the equation $x_2\phi_3 + \phi_5$, where $\phi_k = \phi_k(x_1, x_1')$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$. So, $P$ is a triple point of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma$ has no singular points other than $P$. Thus $\Gamma$ is as in Theorem 3.3. According to [KM92, Th. 4.9] the extraction $\overline{f}\colon \overline{X} \to Q = \widehat{X}$ is unique up to isomorphism over $Q$. Since $\rho(\overline{X}/Q) = 2$, the Sarkisov link $Q \leftarrow \overline{X} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X} \to X$ is uniquely determined. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

## References

[Ale94] Valery Alexeev. General elephants of **Q**-Fano 3-folds. *Compositio Math.*, 91(1):91–116, 1994.

[B$^+$] Gavin Brown et al. Graded ring database.

[BKR10] G. Brown, M. Kerber, and M. Reid. Fano 3-folds in codimension 4, Tom and Jerry, Part I. *ArXiv e-print*, 1009.4313, 2010. to appear in Comp. Math.

[BS07a] Gavin Brown and Kaori Suzuki. Computing certain Fano 3-folds. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 24(3):241–250, 2007.

[BS07b] Gavin Brown and Kaori Suzuki. Fano 3-folds with divisible anticanonical class. *Manuscripta Math.*, 123(1):37–51, 2007.

[CPR00] Alessio Corti, Aleksandr Pukhlikov, and Miles Reid. Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces. In *Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds*, volume 281 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 175–258. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[CR02] Alessio Corti and Miles Reid. Weighted Grassmannians. In *Algebraic geometry*, pages 141–163. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002.

[Cut88] Steven Cutkosky. Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds. *Math. Ann.*, 280(3):521–525, 1988.

[Fuj90] Takao Fujita. *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, volume 155 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[Kaw88] Yujiro Kawamata. Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 127(1):93–163, 1988.

[Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata. Boundedness of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 3 (Novosibirsk, 1989)*, volume 131 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 439–445, Providence, RI, 1992. Amer. Math. Soc.

[Kaw96] Yujiro Kawamata. Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal quotient singularities. In *Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994)*, pages 241–246. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[KM92] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. Classification of three-dimensional flips. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(3):533–703, 1992.

[Mor82] S. Mori. Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. Math.*, 115:133–176, 1982.

[MP08] Shigefumi Mori and Yuri Prokhorov. On $\mathbf{Q}$-conic bundles. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(2):315–369, 2008.

[Pro07] Yu. Prokhorov. The degree of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds. *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.*, 198(11):1683–1702, 2007.

[Pro10a] Yu. Prokhorov. $G$-Fano threefolds, I. *ArXiv e-print*, 1012.4959, 2010. to appear in Advances in Geometry.

[Pro10b] Yuri Prokhorov. $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds of large Fano index. I. *Doc. Math., J. DMV*, 15:843–872, 2010.

[Pro10c] Yuri Prokhorov. $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds of large Fano index, II. *ArXiv e-print*, 1010.3404, 2010.

[Rei83] Miles Reid. Minimal models of canonical 3-folds. In *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 131–180. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

[Rei87] Miles Reid. Young person's guide to canonical singularities. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, volume 46 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 345–414. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[RS03] Miles Reid and Kaori Suzuki. Cascades of projections from log del Pezzo surfaces. In *Number theory and algebraic geometry*, volume 303 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 227–249. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[Suz04] Kaori Suzuki. On Fano indices of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano 3-folds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 114(2):229–246, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW 117234, RUSSIA

LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, SU-HSE, 7 VAVILOVA STR., MOSCOW 117312, RUSSIA

*E-mail address*: `prokhoro@gmail.com`

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK

*E-mail address*: `Miles.Reid@warwick.ac.uk`